



City Council

Susan Candell, Mayor  
Teresa Gerringer, Vice Mayor  
Carl Anduri, Council Member  
Gina Dawson, Council Member  
Wei-Tai Kwok, Council Member

---

September 30, 2021

Michael Montgomery, Executive Officer  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
San Francisco Bay Region  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400  
Oakland, CA 94612

Dear Mr. Montgomery:

Enclosed is the Fiscal Year 2020-2021 Annual Report for the City of Lafayette, which is required by and in accordance with Provision C.17 in National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit Number CAS612008 issued by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Niroop Srivatsa".

Niroop Srivatsa  
City Manager

Enclosure

**Table of Contents**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
Section 1 – Permittee Information .....	1-1
Section 2 – Provision C.2 Municipal Operations.....	2-1
Section 3 – Provision C.3 New Development and Redevelopment.....	3-1
Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls.....	4-1
Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination .....	5-1
Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls.....	6-1
Section 7 – Provision C.7 Public Information and Outreach.....	7-1
Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls .....	9-1
Section 10 – Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction .....	10-1
Section 11 – Provision C.11 Mercury Controls .....	11-1
Section 12 – Provision C.12 PCBs Controls .....	12-1
Section 13 – Provision C.13 Copper Controls .....	13-1
Section 15 – Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges.....	15-1

Section 1 – Permittee Information

Background Information				
<b>Permittee Name:</b>	City of Lafayette			
<b>Population:</b>				
<b>NPDES Permit No.:</b>	CAS612008			
<b>Order Number:</b>	R2-2015-0049			
<b>Reporting Time Period (month/year):</b>	July 2020 through June 2021			
<b>Name of the Responsible Authority:</b>	Niroop Srivatsa	<b>Title:</b>	City Manager	
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	3675 Mount Diablo Boulevard			
<b>City:</b>	Lafayette	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94597	<b>County:</b> Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>		<b>Fax Number:</b>		
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	nsrivatsa@ci.lafayette.ca.us			
<b>Name of the Designated Stormwater Management Program Contact (if different from above):</b>	Matt Luttrupp	<b>Title:</b>	Engineering Services Manager	
<b>Department:</b>	Engineering and Public Works			
<b>Mailing Address:</b>	3675 Mount Diablo Boulevard, Suite 210			
<b>City:</b>	Lafayette	<b>Zip Code:</b>	94597	<b>County:</b> Contra Costa
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	925-299-3247	<b>Fax Number:</b>	925-284-3169	
<b>E-mail Address:</b>	mluttrupp@ci.lafayette.ca.us			

**Section 2 - Provision C.2 Reporting Municipal Operations**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

The City of Lafayette’s Department of Public Works contracts for most of its municipal maintenance operations. The City requires compliance with all current clean water permit requirements. The City participates with the Contra Costa Clean Water Program (CCCWP) by participating in numerous joint county-wide and regional programs and activities. The City’s Engineering Services Manager is the primary voting member and participates on the CCCWP Management and Development Committees. Designated back-up participant to the Management Committee is Tim Clark, Assistant Engineer.

Lafayette Library and Learning Center operations and maintenance are overseen by the City’s Building Superintendent and Public Works Manager, Parks and Trails maintenance is overseen by the Parks Maintenance Supervisor and Parks, Trails, and Recreation Director, and Community Center operations are managed by the Parks, Trails, and Recreation Director.

The City’s new development and redevelopment activities are managed by the Planning and Building Director and the Director of Engineering and Public Works. City activities are reported with the C.3 section of the Annual Report. Construction Site Controls are contracted for through Contra Costa County Building Inspection by the Planning and Building Director.

Contra Costa Sanitary District (CCCSD) performs business and stormwater inspections as contracted by the City and CCCWP. Other City Maintenance activities are primarily managed by the Public Works Department for stormwater pollution prevention and reporting such activities as required by the Municipal Regional Permit.

See the C.2 Municipal Operations section of the CCCWP’s FY 20-21 Annual Report for a detailed description of activities implemented at the countywide and/or regional level.

**C.2.a. ► Street and Road Repair and Maintenance**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of debris and waste materials during road and parking lot installation, repaving or repair maintenance activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Control of concrete slurry and wastewater, asphalt, pavement cutting, and other street and road maintenance materials and wastewater from discharging to storm drains from work sites.
<b>Y</b>	Sweeping and/or vacuuming and other dry methods to remove debris, concrete, or sediment residues from work sites upon completion of work.
Comments: none	

**C.2.b. ► Sidewalk/Plaza Maintenance and Pavement Washing**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

<b>Y</b>	Control of wash water from pavement washing, mobile cleaning, pressure wash operations at parking lots, garages, trash areas, gas station fueling areas, and sidewalk and plaza cleaning activities from polluting stormwater
<b>Y</b>	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs
Comments: None	

**C.2.c. ► Bridge and Structure Maintenance and Graffiti Removal**

Place a **Y** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type **NA** in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an **N** in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.

Y	Control of discharges from bridge and structural maintenance activities directly over water or into storm drains
Y	Control of discharges from graffiti removal activities
Y	Proper disposal for wastes generated from bridge and structure maintenance and graffiti removal activities
Y	Implementation of the BASMAA Mobile Surface Cleaner Program BMPs for graffiti removal
Y	Employee training on proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.
Y	Contract specifications requiring proper capture and disposal methods for wastes generated from bridge and structural maintenance and graffiti removal activities.

Comments: None

C.2.e. ► Rural Public Works Construction and Maintenance	
Does your municipality own/maintain rural <sup>1</sup> roads:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If your answer is <b>No</b> then skip to <b>C.2.f.</b>	
Place a <b>Y</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were implemented. If not applicable, type <b>NA</b> in the box and provide an explanation in the comments section below. Place an <b>N</b> in the boxes next to activities where applicable BMPs were not implemented for one or more of these activities during the reporting fiscal year, then in the comments section below provide an explanation of when BMPs were not implemented and the corrective actions taken.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Control of road-related erosion and sediment transport from road design, construction, maintenance, and repairs in rural areas
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification and prioritization of rural road maintenance based on soil erosion potential, slope steepness, and stream habitat resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	No impact to creek functions including migratory fish passage during construction of roads and culverts
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inspection of rural roads for structural integrity and prevention of impact on water quality
<input type="checkbox"/>	Maintenance of rural roads adjacent to streams and riparian habitat to reduce erosion, replace damaging shotgun culverts and excessive erosion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Re-grading of unpaved rural roads to slope outward where consistent with road engineering safety standards, and installation of water bars as appropriate
<input type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of measures to reduce erosion, provide fish passage, and maintain natural stream geomorphology when replacing culverts or design of new culverts or bridge crossings
Comments including listing increased maintenance in priority areas:      n/a	

<sup>1</sup>Rural means any watershed or portion thereof that is developed with large lot home-sites, such as one acre or larger, or with primarily agricultural, grazing or open space uses.

C.2.f. ► Corporation Yard BMP Implementation	
Place an <b>X</b> in the boxes below that apply to your corporation yard(s):	
<input type="checkbox"/>	We do not have a corporation yard
<input type="checkbox"/>	Our corporation yard is a filed NOI facility and regulated by the California State Industrial Stormwater NPDES General Permit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	We have a <b>Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)</b> for the Corporation Yard(s)
Place an <b>X</b> in the boxes below next to implemented SWPPP BMPs to indicate that these BMPs were implemented in applicable instances. If not applicable, type <b>NA</b> in the box. If one or more of the BMPs were not adequately implemented during the reporting fiscal year then indicate so and explain in the comments section below:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Control of pollutant discharges to storm drains such as wash waters from cleaning vehicles and equipment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Routine inspection prior to the rainy seasons of corporation yard(s) to ensure non-stormwater discharges have not entered the storm drain system
<input type="checkbox"/>	Containment of all vehicle and equipment wash areas through plumbing to sanitary or another collection method
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Use of dry cleanup methods when cleaning debris and spills from corporation yard(s) or collection of all wash water and disposing of wash water to sanitary or other location where it does not impact surface or groundwater when wet cleanup methods are used
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cover and/or berm outdoor storage areas containing waste pollutants
<p>Comments:</p> <p>The City of Lafayette has two corporation yards, one located at the Public Works Yard and one located at a city park. Public Works manages contractors working from the Public Works Yard, and Public Works staff oversees stormwater pollution prevention activities. The majority of maintenance activities that occurred in FY 20-21 were contracted with TerraCare. The TerraCare Maintenance Foreman is trained in stormwater pollution prevention and oversees and provides training for additional maintenance staff. The purpose of the Corporation Yard SWPPPs is to prevent contaminants that might be generated from maintenance activities from entering the storm drain system and reaching City creeks. This is accomplished by identifying potential stormwater pollutants and describing and implementing best management practices (BMPs).</p> <p>The Public Works Corporation Yard consists of a parking lot, workshop building, a modular building that is used as an office, and a small storage shed. The workshop building is kept locked and contains storage cabinets in which small amounts of fuel, paint, and vehicle oils are safely stored. The storage shed, which houses herbicide and non-chemical supplies, is kept locked. Good housekeeping practices are maintained with spills promptly cleaned up. Drainage from the site is directed toward a grassy swale which drains to a rain garden at the back of the corporation yard. Vehicles are maintained offsite.</p> <p>The Parks Corporation Yard has a contained storage unit and covered areas for storage of other materials. Any spills are cleaned up promptly and kept from entering storm drains or creeks.</p>	



If you have a corporation yard(s) that is not an NOI facility, complete the following table for inspection results for your corporation yard(s) or attach a summary including the following information:

<b>Corporation Yard Name</b>	<b>Corp Yard Activities w/ site-specific SWPPP BMPs</b>	<b>Inspection Date<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Inspection Findings/Results</b>	<b>Date and Description of Follow-up and/or Corrective Actions</b>
Public Works	General housekeeping, outdoor material storage; outdoor waste/recycling storage; municipal vehicle/heavy equipment parking; employee parking.	9/29/20	Inspection performed by CCCSD. No corrective work required.	No corrective work required.
Parks	General housekeeping, outdoor material storage; municipal vehicle/equipment parking.	9/29/20	Inspection performed by CCCSD. Corporation yard is in good condition.	No corrective work required.

<sup>2</sup> Minimum inspection frequency is once a year during September.

**Section 3 - Provision C.3 Reporting New Development and Redevelopment**

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting**

Fill in attached table **C.3.b.iv.(2)** or attach your own table including the same information.  
 See attached table C.3.b.iv.(2) for list of regulated projects

**C.3.e.iv. ► Alternative or In-Lieu Compliance with Provision C.3.c.**

Is your agency choosing to require 100% LID treatment onsite for all Regulated Projects and not allow alternative compliance under Provision C.3.e.?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
Comments (optional):				

**C.3.e.v ► Special Projects Reporting**

1. In FY 2020-21, has your agency received, but not yet granted final discretionary approval of, a development permit application for a project that has been identified as a potential Special Project based on criteria listed in MRP Provision C.3.e.ii(2) for any of the three categories of Special Projects (Categories A, B or C)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
2. In FY 2020-21, has your agency granted final discretionary approval to a Special Project? If yes, include the project in both the <b>C.3.b.iv.(2)</b> Table, and the <b>C.3.e.v.</b> Table.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No</b>
If you answered "Yes" to either question, <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Complete Table C.3.e.v.</li> <li>2) Attach narrative discussion of 100% LID Feasibility or Infeasibility for each project.</li> </ol>				

**C.3.h.v.(2) ► Reporting Newly Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems and HM Controls (Optional)**

On an annual basis, before the wet season, provide a list of newly installed (installed within the reporting year) stormwater treatment systems and HM controls to the local mosquito and vector control agency and the Water Board. The list shall include the facility locations and a description of the stormwater treatment measures and HM controls installed.

See attached Table C.3.h.v.(2) for list of newly installed Stormwater Treatment Systems. No HM controls have been installed to date.

**C.3.h.v.(3)(a) –(c) and (f) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program Reporting**

Site Inspections Data	Number/Percentage
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year (FY19-20 )	<b>21</b>
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) in your agency's database or tabular format at the end of the reporting period (FY 20-21)	<b>23</b>
Total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) for which O&M verification inspections were conducted during the reporting period (FY 20-21)	<b>4</b>
Percentage of the total number of Regulated Projects (including offsite projects, and Regional Projects) inspected during the reporting period (FY 20-21)	19.05% <sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Based on the number of Regulated Projects in the database or tabular format at the end of the previous fiscal year, per MRP Provision C.3.h.ii.(6)(b).

**C.3.h.v.(3)(d)-(e) ► Installed Stormwater Treatment Systems  
Operation and Maintenance Verification Inspection Program  
Reporting**

Provide a discussion of the inspection findings for the year and any common problems encountered with various types of treatment systems and/or HM controls. This discussion should include a general comparison to the inspection findings from the previous year.

Summary:

Inspections were conducted by the Contra Costa County Building Inspection department per approved O&M plans and no irregularities were found. No projects have been constructed with HM controls.

Provide a discussion of the effectiveness of the O&M Program and any proposed changes to improve the O&M Program (e.g., changes in prioritization plan or frequency of O&M inspections, other changes to improve effectiveness program).

Summary:

O&M program appears to be functioning as designed. No changes are proposed at this time.

**C.3.i. ► Required Site Design Measures for Small Projects and  
Detached Single Family Home Projects**

On an annual basis, discuss the implementation of the requirements of Provision C.3.i, including ordinance revisions, permit conditions, development of standard specifications and/or guidance materials, and staff training.

Summary:

Applicants for development approvals for projects creating or replacing more than 2,500 square feet but less than 10,000 square feet of impervious area, and single-family homes creating or replacing more than 2,500 square feet of impervious area, are required to submit a Stormwater Control Plan for a Small Land Development Project that meets the criteria in Appendix C of the Contra Costa Clean Water Program's *Stormwater C.3 Guidebook*. Appendix C includes minimum specifications for runoff reduction measures.

**C.3.j.i.(5)(d) ► Green Infrastructure Outreach**

On an annual basis, provide a summary of your agency’s outreach and education efforts pertaining to Green Infrastructure planning and implementation.

Summary:

Please refer to the CCCWP’s FY 20-21 Annual Report for a summary of outreach efforts implemented at the countywide level.

**C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Early Implementation of Green Infrastructure Projects**

On an annual basis, submit a list of green infrastructure projects, public and private, that are already planned for implementation during the permit term and infrastructure projects planned for implementation during the permit term that have potential for green infrastructure measures. Include the following information:

- A summary of planning or implementation status for each public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table B - Planned Green Infrastructure Projects).
- A summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. For any public infrastructure project where implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, submit a brief description of the project and the reasons green infrastructure measures were impracticable to implement (see C.3.j.ii.(2) Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure).

Background Information:

Describe how this provision is being implemented by your agency, including the process used by your agency to identify projects with potential for green infrastructure, if applicable.

The City Engineer has reviewed and is using the BASMAA May 6, 2016 document, “Guidance for identifying Green Infrastructure Potential in Municipal Capital Improvement Projects”.

Summary of Planning or Implementation Status of Identified Projects:

See attached Tables C.3.j.ii.(2)-A and C.3.j.ii.(2)-B for the required information, and any additional notes provided here.

**C.3.j.iii.(2) and (3) ▶ Participate in Processes to Promote Green Infrastructure**

On an annual basis, report on the goals and outcomes during the reporting year of work undertaken to participate in processes to promote green infrastructure.

Please refer to CCCWP's FY 20-21 Annual Report for a summary of efforts conducted to help regional, state, and Federal agencies plan, design, and fund incorporation of green infrastructure measures into local infrastructure projects, including transportation projects.

**C.3.j.iv.(2) and (3) ▶ Tracking and Reporting Progress**

On an annual basis, report progress on development and implementation of methods to track and report implementation of green infrastructure measures and provide reasonable assurance that wasteload allocations for TMDLs are being met.

Please refer to CCCWP's FY 20-21 Annual Report for a summary of methods being developed to track and report implementation of green infrastructure measures.

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>4</sup> , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. <sup>5</sup>	Project Type & Description <sup>6</sup>	Project Watershed <sup>7</sup>	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>8</sup>	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>9</sup>	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>10</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>11</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Private Projects</b>											
Madison Park	3783 Golden Gate Way	Golden Gate Way, LLC	NA	71 unit multifamily	Las Trampas	1.47	1.32	0	38,592	46,425	38,592
950 Hough	950 Hough	950 Hough Investors, LLC	NA	20 unit multifamily	Las Trampas	0.47	0.47	1,472	15,996	15,996	17468
Miramar	3470 & 3462 Mt. Diablo Blvd.	Miramar Mt. Diablo Blvd, LLC	NA	Mixed use development with 166 unit multifamily and 29,200 sq. ft. commercial space	Las Trampas	4.15	4.15	0	137,670	146,360	137,670
Terraces of Lafayette	3233 Deer Hill Road	O'Brien Land Co., LLC	NA	315 unit multifamily	Las Trampas	22.27	21.1	25,020	0	4,309	29,329
Samantha Townhomes	Unaddressed Stuart Street	Bay Area Urban Development, LLC	NA	12 unit multifamily	Las Trampas	0.36	0.36	6,992	0	0	6,992
Tait Subdivision	1369 Reliez Valley Road	Jeff Stone	NA	2 lot subdivision single family homes	Las Trampas	1.33	0.53	23,089	0	0	23,089
Las Trampas School	3460 Lana Lane	Daniel Hogue	NA	Demo and Reconstruct School	Las Trampas	2.96	2.12	11,626	28,266	67,277	58,697
3742 Mount Diablo Boulevard	3742 Mount Diablo Boulevard	Nikhil Gera	NA	6 Unit Multi Family	Las Trampas	0.4	0.3	10,794	10,794	12,373	10,794

<sup>4</sup>Include cross streets

<sup>5</sup>If a project is being constructed in phases, indicate the phase number and use a separate row entry for each phase. If not, enter "NA".

<sup>6</sup>Project Type is the type of development (i.e., new and/or redevelopment). Example descriptions of development are: 5-story office building, residential with 160 single-family homes with five 4-story buildings to contain 200 condominiums, 100 unit 2-story shopping mall, mixed use retail and residential development (apartments), industrial warehouse.

<sup>7</sup>State the watershed(s) in which the Regulated Project is located. Downstream watershed(s) may be included, but this is optional.

<sup>8</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing pervious surface.

<sup>9</sup>All impervious surfaces added to any area of the site that was previously existing impervious surface.

<sup>10</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the pre-project impervious surface area.

<sup>11</sup>For redevelopment projects, state the post-project impervious surface area.

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 1) – Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period**

Project Name Project No.	Project Location <sup>4</sup> , Street Address	Name of Developer	Project Phase No. <sup>5</sup>	Project Type & Description <sup>6</sup>	Project Watershed <sup>7</sup>	Total Site Area (Acres)	Total Area of Land Disturbed (Acres)	Total New Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>8</sup>	Total Replaced Impervious Surface Area (ft <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>9</sup>	Total Pre- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>10</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Total Post- Project Impervious Surface Area <sup>11</sup> (ft <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Public Projects</b>											
Comments: No public project were constructed.											



**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –  
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period  
 (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>12</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>13</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>14</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>15</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>16</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>17</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>18</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>19/20</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>21</sup>	HM Controls <sup>22/23</sup>
<b>Private Projects</b>										
Madison Park	4/3/2020	2/22/2021	Mark all inlets with “No Dumping – Drains to Bay”	Disperse runoff to vegetated areas & pervious pavement	Flow through planters	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
950 Hough	9/23/2020	3/22/2021	Properly designed trash storage areas	Bioretention and permeable pavement	Flow through planters	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
Miramar	10/16/2020	1/25/2021	Mark all inlets with “No Dumping – Drains to Bay”	Bioretention and permeable pavement	Flow through planters	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention

<sup>12</sup>For private projects, state project application deemed complete date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>13</sup>For private projects, state project application final discretionary approval date. If the project did not go through discretionary review, report the building permit issuance date.

<sup>14</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>15</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>16</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>17</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., O&M agreement with private landowner; O&M agreement with homeowners’ association; O&M by public entity, etc...) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>18</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. “Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems” for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>19</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.iv.(2)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>20</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.iv.(2)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>21</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>22</sup>If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>23</sup>If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –  
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period  
 (private projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Application Deemed Complete Date <sup>12</sup>	Application Final Approval Date <sup>13</sup>	Source Control Measures <sup>14</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>15</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>16</sup>	Type of Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>17</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>18</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>19/20</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>21</sup>	HM Controls <sup>22/23</sup>
Terraces of Lafayette	7/5/2011	8/24/2020	Marked onsite private storm drain inlets; landscape design will preserve existing, install drought tolerant vegetation	Flow through planters; permeable pavers; bio retention facilities	Bioretention Basin, Flow through planters, Self-treating Landscape Areas	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
Samantha Townhomes	1/27/2021	7/26/2021	Mark all inlets with "No Dumping – Drains to Bay"	Bioretention and permeable pavement	Flow through planters	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
Tait Subdivision	2/14/2017	4/17/2017	Minimize need for irrigation and pesticide use. Mark all inlets with "No Dumping-Drains to Bay"	Disperse runoff to vegetated areas and bio-retention areas.	Bioretention and permeable pavement	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
Las Trampas School	2/3/2017	4/17/2017	Minimize need for irrigation and pesticide use. Mark all inlets with "No Dumping-Drains to Bay"	Disperse runoff to vegetated areas and bio-retention areas.	Bioretention and permeable pavement	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention
3742 Mount Diablo Boulevard	10/3/2018	4/17/2017	Minimize need for irrigation and pesticide use. Mark all inlets with "No Dumping-Drains to Bay"	Disperse runoff to vegetated areas and bio-retention areas.	Bioretention and permeable pavement	O&M Agreement with the property owner	C.3.d.2.c Volume using 2in/hr rainfall	NA	No	County IMP Sizing Tool: Detention at bioretention

**C.3.b.iv.(2) ► Regulated Projects Reporting Table (part 2) –  
 Projects Approved During the Fiscal Year Reporting Period  
 (public projects)**

Project Name Project No.	Approval Date <sup>24</sup>	Date Construction Scheduled to Begin	Source Control Measures <sup>25</sup>	Site Design Measures <sup>26</sup>	Treatment Systems Approved <sup>27</sup>	Operation & Maintenance Responsibility Mechanism <sup>28</sup>	Hydraulic Sizing Criteria <sup>29</sup>	Alternative Compliance Measures <sup>30/31</sup>	Alternative Certification <sup>32</sup>	HM Controls <sup>33/34</sup>
<b>Public Projects</b>										
Comments:										

<sup>24</sup>For public projects, enter the plans and specifications approval date.

<sup>25</sup>List source control measures approved for the project. Examples include: properly designed trash storage areas; storm drain stenciling or signage; efficient landscape irrigation systems; etc.

<sup>26</sup>List site design measures approved for the project. Examples include: minimize impervious surfaces; conserve natural areas, including existing trees or other vegetation, and soils; construct sidewalks, walkways, and/or patios with permeable surfaces, etc.

<sup>27</sup>List all approved stormwater treatment system(s) to be installed onsite or at a joint stormwater treatment facility (e.g., flow through planter, bioretention facility, infiltration basin, etc.).

<sup>28</sup>List the legal mechanism(s) (e.g., maintenance plan for O&M by public entity, etc.) that have been or will be used to assign responsibility for the maintenance of the post-construction stormwater treatment systems.

<sup>29</sup>See Provision C.3.d.i. "Numeric Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Treatment Systems" for list of hydraulic sizing design criteria. Enter the corresponding provision number of the appropriate criterion (i.e., 1.a., 1.b., 2.a., 2.b., 2.c., or 3).

<sup>30</sup>For Alternative Compliance at an offsite location in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(1), on a separate page, give a discussion of the alternative compliance site including the information specified in Provision C.3.b.iv.(2)(m)(i) for the offsite project.

<sup>31</sup>For Alternative Compliance by paying in-lieu fees in accordance with Provision C.3.e.i.(2), on a separate page, provide the information specified in Provision C.3.b.iv.(2)(m)(ii) for the Regional Project.

<sup>32</sup>Note whether a third party was used to certify the project design complies with Provision C.3.d.

<sup>33</sup>If HM control is not required, state why not.

<sup>34</sup>If HM control is required, state control method used (e.g., method to design and size device(s) or method(s) used to meet the HM Standard, and description of device(s) or method(s) used, such as detention basin(s), bioretention unit(s), regional detention basin, or in-stream control).

**C.3.h.v.(2). ► Table of Newly Installed<sup>35</sup> Stormwater Treatment Systems and Hydromodification Management (HM) Controls (Optional)**

Fill in table below or attach your own table including the same information.

Name of Facility	Address of Facility	Party Responsible <sup>36</sup> For Maintenance	Type of Treatment/HM Control(s)
Tait Subdivision	1369 Reliez Valley Road	Property Owner	Bio-retention Basin
Louie Residence	3624 Chestnut Street	Property Owner	Bio-retention Basin

<sup>35</sup> "Newly Installed" includes those facilities for which the final installation inspection was performed during this reporting year.

<sup>36</sup>State the responsible operator for installed stormwater treatment systems and HM controls.

C.3.e.v.Special Projects Reporting Table												
Reporting Period – July 1 2020 - June 30, 2021												
Project Name & No.	Permittee	Address	Application Submittal Date <sup>37</sup>	Status <sup>38</sup>	Description <sup>39</sup>	Site Total Acreage	Gross Density DU/Acre	Density FAR	Special Project Category <sup>40</sup>	LID Treatment Reduction Credit Available <sup>41</sup>	List of LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>42</sup>	List of Non-LID Stormwater Treatment Systems <sup>43</sup>
The City of Lafayette had no Special Projects during the reporting period.												

**Special Projects Narrative**

<sup>37</sup>Date that a planning application for the Special Project was submitted.

<sup>38</sup> Indicate whether final discretionary approval is still pending or has been granted, and provide the date or version of the project plans upon which reporting is based.

<sup>39</sup>Type of project (commercial, mixed-use, residential), number of floors, number of units, type of parking, and other relevant information.

<sup>40</sup> For each applicable Special Project Category, list the specific criteria applied to determine applicability. For each non-applicable Special Project Category, indicate n/a.

<sup>41</sup>For each applicable Special Project Category, state the maximum total LID Treatment Reduction Credit available. For Category C Special Projects also list the individual Location, Density, and Minimized Surface Parking Credits available.

<sup>42</sup>: List all LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type, indicate the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area.

<sup>43</sup>List all non-LID stormwater treatment systems proposed. For each type of non-LID treatment system, indicate: (1) the percentage of the total amount of runoff identified in Provision C.3.d. for the Special Project's drainage area, and (2) whether the treatment system either meets minimum design criteria published by a government agency or received certification issued by a government agency, and reference the applicable criteria or certification.

<b>C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Table A - Public Projects Reviewed for Green Infrastructure</b>				
<b>Project Name and Location<sup>44</sup></b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Status<sup>45</sup></b>	<b>GI Included?<sup>46</sup></b>	<b>Description of GI Measures Considered and/or Proposed or Why GI is Impracticable to Implement<sup>47</sup></b>
2021 Road Resurfacing Project	Performed spot pavement repairs and overlay the existing roadway	Construction	No	GI is not practical due to the limited scope of work and the lack of necessary infrastructure (water for irrigation)
2021 Surface Seal Project	Performed spot pavement repairs and apply surface seal on the roadway	Completed Construction	No	GI is not practical due to the limited scope of work and the lack of necessary infrastructure (water for irrigation)

<b>C.3.j.ii.(2) ► Table B - Planned and/or Completed Green Infrastructure Projects</b>			
<b>Project Name and Location<sup>48</sup></b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Planning or Implementation Status</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure Measures Included</b>
Golden Gate Way at First Street Rain Garden	Construct bio-retention facilities with viewing area and pedestrian access	Design, Construction anticipated in 2022	The project drains concrete sidewalks and asphalt parking and roadway improvements to bio-retention areas.

<sup>44</sup> List each public project that is going through your agency’s process for identifying projects with green infrastructure potential.

<sup>45</sup> Indicate status of project, such as: beginning design, under design (or X% design), projected completion date, completed final design date, etc.

<sup>46</sup> Enter “Yes” if project will include GI measures, “No” if GI measures are impracticable to implement, or “TBD” if this has not yet been determined.

<sup>47</sup> Provide a summary of how each public infrastructure project with green infrastructure potential will include green infrastructure measures to the maximum extent practicable during the permit term. If review of the project indicates that implementation of green infrastructure measures is not practicable, provide the reasons why green infrastructure measures are impracticable to implement.

<sup>48</sup> List each planned (and expected to be funded) public and private green infrastructure project that is not also a Regulated Project as defined in Provision C.3.b.ii. Note that funding for green infrastructure components may be anticipated but is not guaranteed to be available or sufficient.

**Section 4 – Provision C.4 Industrial and Commercial Site Controls**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**

Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:

Summary:

Central Contra Costa Sanitary District provides inspection services for the City of Lafayette and provides data tracking and reporting as required by the MRP. The City’s business inspection plan and facilities lists have been updated for the 2020/2021 fiscal year. See details of inspection activities below.

**C.4.b.iii ► Potential Facilities List (i.e., List of All Facilities Requiring Stormwater Inspections)**

List below or attach your list of industrial and commercial facilities in your Inspection Plan to inspect that could reasonably be considered to cause or contribute to pollution of stormwater runoff.

See "Attachment A"

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(a) & (c) ► Facility Inspections**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information. Indicate your reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges at a site as one enforcement action.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.

	Number
Total number of inspections conducted (C.4.d.iii.(2)(a))	37
Violations, enforcement actions, or discrete number of potential and actual discharges resolved within 10 working days or otherwise deemed resolved in a longer but still timely manner (C.4.d.iii.(2)(c))	1

Comments:

One (1) NOV was issued during FY 20/21. Resolution occurred on the same day it was reported and was resolved prior to CCCSD inspector’s site visit the following day.

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(b) ▶ Frequency and Type of Enforcement Conducted**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>49</sup>	<b>Number of Enforcement Actions Taken</b>
Level 1	Verbal warning and/or written warning notice and education	0
Level 2	Notice of violation	1
Level 3	Formal enforcement (administrative penalties/cost recovery)	0
Level 4	Legal action and/or referral to state or federal agencies	0
<b>Total</b>		1

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(d) ▶ Frequency of Potential and Actual Non-stormwater Discharges by Business Category**

Fill out the following table or attach a summary of the following information.

<b>Business Category<sup>50</sup></b>	<b>Number of Actual Discharges</b>	<b>Number of Potential Discharges</b>
Body shop, fleet operations, recycling, smog center, vehicle services, gas station	0	0
Commercial (including dry cleaning and recycling)	0	0
Food services, mini market, grocery	0	0
Pool and landscape maintenance	0	0

**C.4.d.iii.(2)(e) ▶ Non-Fileers**

List below or attach a list of the facilities required to have coverage under the Industrial General Permit but have not filed for coverage:

None known.

<sup>49</sup>Agencies to list specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>50</sup>List your Program's standard business categories.



<b>C.4.e.iii ▶ Staff Training Summary</b>				
<b>Training Name</b>	<b>Training Dates</b>	<b>Topics Covered</b>	<b>No. of Inspectors in Attendance</b>	<b>Percent of Inspectors in Attendance</b>
Commercial /Industrial Stormwater Inspection Training Workshop (Contra Costa County)	5/25/21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Outline available through CWP</li> </ul>	Central Sanitary District -6 Delta Diablo – 3 WCWD-2	Central Sanitary District -67 Delta Diablo – 100 WCWD-100
CWEA-Annual Pretreatment, Pollution Prevention and Stormwater Conference (Virtual)	3/8 to 11-21	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stormwater program</li> <li>General inspector skills</li> </ul>	Central Sanitary District -4 Delta Diablo – 2	Central Sanitary District -44 Delta Diablo – 67
SFEI-RMP Annual Meeting	10/6/20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CECs in Stormwater</li> <li>Green Infrastructure</li> <li>Watershed Modeling</li> </ul>	Central Sanitary District -3	Central Sanitary District -33
Comments:				

**Section 5 – Provision C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination**

**Program Highlights and Evaluation**  
**Highlight/summarize activities for reporting year:**

Provide background information, highlights, trends, etc.

Summary:  
 All reports of potential illicit discharge or spills are followed up on as soon as possible by either an Engineering Department Inspector or the City of Lafayette’s Public Works Department staff. Sometimes spills are followed up on by the Central Contra Costa Sanitary District (CCCSD) at the request of City Staff.

Matt Luttrupp, Engineering Services Manager, and Tim Clark, Assistant Engineer, participated in the CCCWP’s Management Committee during FY 20/21.

Refer to the C.5 Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination section of the CCCWP’s FY 20/21 Annual Report for description of activities at the countywide or regional level.

**C.5.c.iii ► Complaint and Spill Response Phone Number**

Summary of any changes made during FY 20-21:  
 No change.

**C.5.d.iii.(1), (2), (3) ► Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking**

Spill and Discharge Complaint Tracking (fill out the following table or include an attachment of the following information)

	<b>Number</b>
Discharges reported (C.5.d.iii.(1))	4
Discharges reaching storm drains and/or receiving waters (C.5.d.iii.(2))	2
Discharges resolved in a timely manner (C.5.d.iii.(3))	4

Comments:  
 City of Lafayette staff responds to all reports of potential illicit discharges and spills. This year, staff received and responded to four (4) reports of discharges. All incidents were investigated and followed by clean-up actions, and prevention BMPs were put in place, as needed.

- Two (2) of the incidents did not reach receiving waters: minor vehicle oil leaks on the road that were cleaned within a few days.
- Two (2) incidents involved the creek. In one incident, slightly murky creek water was seen in the creek. Although a thorough investigation was conducted to identify the source, it was not found and the issue resolved within a couple days. One incident involved murky water caused by EBMUD work, which was cleared up within a few days.

Section 6 – Provision C.6 Construction Site Controls

<b>C.6.e.iii.(3)(a), (b), (c), (d) ▶ Site/Inspection Totals</b>			
<b>Number of active Hillside Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii.3.a)</b>	<b>Number of High Priority Sites (sites disturbing &lt; 1 acre of soil requiring storm water runoff quality inspection) (C.6.e.iii. 3.c)</b>	<b>Number of sites disturbing ≥ 1 acre of soil (C.6.e.iii.3.b)</b>	<b>Total number of storm water runoff quality inspections conducted (include only Hillside Sites, High Priority Sites and sites disturbing 1 acre or more) (C.6.e.iii. 3.d)</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
<p>Comments:</p> <p>Contra Costa County Building Inspection Department provides construction site controls services under contract with the City of Lafayette Planning and Building Department.</p> <p>The hillside sites are the ones with 5,000 sf of disturbance on ground that averages 15% or steeper. The high priority sites are the ones that either have a high probability of erosion or have had prior illicit discharges.</p> <p><b>Provide the number of inspections that are conducted at sites not within the above categories as part of your agency's inspection program and a general description of those sites, if available or applicable.</b></p> <p>Does not apply.</p>			

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(e) ► Construction Related Storm Water Enforcement Actions**

	<b>Enforcement Action</b> (as listed in ERP) <sup>51</sup>	<b>Number Enforcement Actions Issued</b>
Level 1 <sup>52</sup>	Verbal warning /Re-inspeciton within 10 days	6
Level 2	Notice to Comply	0
Level 3	Notice of Violation	0
Level 4	Stop Work Order	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>6</b>

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(f), ► Illicit Discharges**

	<b>Number</b>
Number of illicit discharges, actual and those inferred through evidence at hillside sites, high priority sites and sites that disturb 1 acre or more of land (C.6.e.iii. 3.f)	0

**C.6.e.iii.(3)(g) ► Corrective Actions**

Indicate your reporting methodology below.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports multiple discrete potential and actual discharges at a site as one enforcement action.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Permittee reports the total number of discrete potential and actual discharges on each site.
	<b>Number</b>
<b>Enforcement actions or discrete potential and actual discharges fully corrected within 10 business days after violations are discovered</b> or otherwise considered corrected in a timely period (C.6.e.iii. .3.g)	6
<b>Comments:</b>	

<sup>51</sup>Agencies should list the specific enforcement actions as defined in their ERPs.

<sup>52</sup>For example, Enforcement Level 1 may be Verbal Warning.

**C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Data**

Describe your evaluation of the tracking data and data summaries and provide information on the evaluation results (e.g., data trends, typical BMP performance issues, comparisons to previous years, etc.).

Description:  
 For the 2020-2021 rainy season, erosion control violations were mild and quickly remedied for projects within the City of Lafayette. All violations were addressed to my satisfaction within a 10-day period per my directions

**C.6.e.iii.(4) ► Evaluation of Inspection Program Effectiveness**

Describe what appear to be your program’s strengths and weaknesses, and identify needed improvements, including education and outreach.

Description:  
 The 2020-2021 inspection cycle was hampered by both covid confusion with job duties as well as a shift in responsibility for City of Lafayette clean water inspections due to the retirement of the inspector who served the city for a couple of years. I inherited the responsibility in January and finished the work left for me from the previous inspector. The next rainy weather inspection cycle (2021-2022) be entirely my responsibility.  
  
 The “Construction Site Inspection Form” gives a clear and concise roadmap for both what to look for and how to resolve violations. It is easy to fill out and easy for the permittee to understand and correct violations. More guidance, however, would be beneficial for how to proceed when projects don’t comply with violations (i.e. – when do we escalate through the violation levels, what agencies are involved, etc.). Beyond that, I have no other comments on the program

**C.6.f.iii ► Staff Training Summary**

Training Name	Training Dates	Topics Covered	No. of Inspectors in Attendance
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

**Section 7 – Provision C.7. Public Information and Outreach**

**C.7.b.i.1 ► Outreach Campaign**

Summarize outreach campaign. Include details such as messages, creative developed, and outreach media used. The detailed outreach campaign report may be included as an attachment. If outreach campaign is being done by participation in a countywide or regional program, refer to the separate countywide or regional Annual Report.

Summary:

Refer to Section 7 in the CCCWP's FY20/21 Annual Report for a summary of activities related to the planning and development of an Outreach Campaign.

**C.7.b.iii.2 ► Post-Campaign Effectiveness Assessment/Evaluation**

*(For the Annual Report following the post-campaign effectiveness assessment/evaluation)* Submit a report of the effectiveness assessment/evaluation completed, which, at a minimum, should include the following information:

- 1) A description of the outreach campaign
- 2) A summary of how the effectiveness assessment/evaluation was implemented
- 3) An analysis of the effectiveness assessment/evaluation results
- 4) A discussion of the measurable changes in awareness and behavior achieved
- 5) A discussion of the planned or future outreach campaigns to influence awareness and behavior changes regarding stormwater runoff pollution prevention messages

If campaign implementation and effectiveness assessment were done Countywide or regionally, refer to a Countywide or regional submittal that contains the information described above.

<input type="checkbox"/>	See attached effectiveness assessment/evaluation report
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	See Countywide or regional submittal (reference document)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Effectiveness assessment/evaluation report was included in the FY 19-20 Annual Report

**C.7.c. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Education**

No Change

**C.7.d ► Public Outreach and Citizen Involvement Events**

Describe general approach to event selection. Provide a list of outreach materials and giveaways distributed.  
 Use the following table for reporting and evaluating public outreach events

Event Details	Description (messages, audience)	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>Due to the restrictions in place during the Covid 19 pandemic the events typically used for public outreach were cancelled or not permitted.</p> <p>Refer to the CCCWP's FY 20/21 Annual Report, Section 7 Public informational and Outreach, for a full list of additional events and Activities conducted at a County wide level.</p>		

**C.7.e. ► Watershed Stewardship Collaborative Efforts**

Summarize watershed stewardship collaborative efforts and/or refer to a regional report that provides details. Describe the level of effort and support given (e.g., funding only, active participation etc.). State efforts undertaken and the results of these efforts. If this activity is done regionally refer to a regional report.

Evaluate effectiveness by describing the following:

- Efforts undertaken
- Major accomplishments

Summary:  
 Refer to the CCCWP's FY 20/21 Annual Report, Section 7 Public Information and Outreach, for efforts conducted at the countywide or regional level.

**C.7.f. ► School-Age Children Outreach**

Summarize school-age children outreach programs implemented. A detailed report may be included as an attachment.  
 Use the following table for reporting school-age children outreach efforts.

Program Details	Focus & Short Description	Number of Students/Teachers reached	Evaluation of Effectiveness
<p>Due to the restrictions in place during the Covid 19 pandemic the events typically used for public outreach were cancelled or not permitted.</p> <p>Refer to the CCCWP's FY 20/21 Annual Report, Section C.7 Public informational and Outreach, for a full list of additional events and Activities conducted at a County wide level.</p>			



Section 9 – Provision C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Controls

<b>C.9.a. ► Implement IPM Policy or Ordinance</b>							
Is your municipality implementing its IPM Policy/Ordinance and Standard Operating Procedures?				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No
If no, explain:							
Report implementation of IPM BMPs by showing trends in quantities and types of pesticides used, and <b><u>suggest reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality</u></b> , specifically organophosphates, pyrethroids, carbamates fipronil, indoxacarb, diuron, and diamides. A separate report can be attached as evidence of your implementation.							
<b>Trends in Quantities and Types of Pesticide Active Ingredients Used<sup>53</sup></b>							
<b>Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Active Ingredient Used</b>	<b>Amount<sup>54</sup></b>						
	<b>FY 16-17</b>	<b>FY 17-18</b>	<b>FY 18-19</b>	<b>FY 19-20</b>	<b>FY 20-21</b>		
<b>Organophosphates</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Chlorpyrifos</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Diazinon</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Malathion</b>							
<b>Pyrethroids (see footnote #2 for list of active ingredients)</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Type: Cyfluthrin</b>	0.0018 lb	0.0021 lb	0.00225 lb	0.0021 lb	0.0016 lb		
<b>Active Ingredient Type: Deltamethrin</b>					0.00008 lb		
<b>Carbamates</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Carbaryl</b>							
<b>Active Ingredient Aldicarb</b>							
<b>Fipronil</b>	8.04 lb						
<b>Pesticide Category and Specific Pesticide Active Ingredient Used</b>	<b>Amount</b>						

<sup>53</sup>Includes all municipal structural and landscape pesticide usage by employees and contractors.

<sup>54</sup>Weight or volume of the active ingredient, using same units for the product each year. Please specify units used. The active ingredients in any pesticide are listed on the label. The list of active ingredients that need to be reported in the pyrethroids class includes: metofluthrin, bifenthrin, cyfluthrin, beta-cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, esfenvalerate, lambdacyhalothrin, and permethrin.

	FY 16-17	FY 17-18	FY 18-19	FY 19-20	FY 20-21
Indoxacarb					
Diuron					
Diamides					
Active Ingredient Chlorantraniliprole					
Active Ingredient Cyantraniliprole					
<b>Reasons for increases in use of pesticides that threaten water quality:</b> N/A					
<b>IPM Tactics and Strategies Used:</b> Public Works has continued to limit the amount of chemicals used in the public right-of-way by increasing hand-removal of weeds and weed eating as much as possible. Only small amounts of chemicals are sprayed on weeds and pests that are not able to be abated in other ways. County Vector Control used boxes to trap rats at the Corporation Yard.					

<b>C.9.b ► Train Municipal Employees</b>	
Enter the number of employees that applied or used pesticides (including herbicides) within the scope of their duties this reporting year.	0
Enter the number of these employees who received training on your IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	0
Enter the percentage of municipal employees who apply pesticides who have received training in the IPM policy and IPM standard operating procedures within this reporting year.	N/A
Type of Training:	

<b>C.9.c ▶ Require Contractors to Implement IPM</b>			
Did your municipality contract with any pesticide service provider in the reporting year, for either landscaping or structural pest control?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
If yes, did your municipality evaluate the contractor’s list of pesticides and amounts of active ingredients used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No,</b>
<p>If your municipality contracted with any pesticide service provider, briefly describe how contractor compliance with IPM Policy/Ordinance and SOPs was monitored</p> <p>The City of Lafayette requires their contractors to obtain the City’s approval before using any pesticide, staff participates in IPM trainings to stay up-to date on improved IPM tactics and strategies, and the City’s contractors have qualified applicator licenses and certificates. The City implements IPM methods and follows their IPM Policy. For instance, at the Public Works Yard, Contra Costa Mosquito Vector Control’s recommendations are followed to trim vegetation away from the buildings and plug holes instead of using chemicals. Pest monitoring is conducted at City sites and non-chemical pest control actions are evaluated and implemented whenever possible before application of pesticides.</p> <p>Contractors apply pesticides as needed. Public Works contracts with Terracare for this service. In January 2021, the Terracare crew and the City’s Public Works Analyst received “Pesticide Safety Training.” If pesticides are needed in the future by the Parks Department, P.J. McNamara Inc. would perform the work. Both contractors possess a qualified applicator license and certificate.</p> <p>Terminix performs work for the City’s Library and Learning Center. They have a qualified applicator license and certificate. They provide monthly service, including inspecting facility conditions and recommending non-chemical pest control actions, which the City acts upon. They submit written reports to the City, which describes pesticide use and is reported in Section C.9.a. They agree to the City’s IPM.</p>			

<b>C.9.d ▶ Interface with County Agricultural Commissioners</b>			
Did your municipality communicate with the County Agricultural Commissioner to: (a) get input and assistance on urban pest management practices and use of pesticides or (b) inform them of water quality issues related to pesticides,	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, summarize the communication. If no, explain.			
Did your municipality report any observed or citizen-reported violations of pesticide regulations (e.g., illegal handling and applications of pesticides) associated with stormwater management, particularly the California Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) surface water protection regulations for outdoor, nonagricultural use of pyrethroid pesticides by any person performing pest control for hire.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Yes</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If yes, provide a summary of improper pesticide usage reported to the County Agricultural Commissioner and follow-up actions taken to correct any violations. A separate report can be attached as your summary. N/A			

**C.9.e.ii (1) ► Public Outreach: Point of Purchase**

Provide a summary of public outreach at point of purchase, and any measurable awareness and behavior changes resulting from outreach (here or in a separate report); <b>OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for public outreach in which your agency participates.
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP FY 20-21 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.

**C.9.e.ii (2) ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Contracting Outreach**

Provide a summary of outreach to residents who use or contract for structural pest control and landscape professionals); <b>AND/OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to residents who hire pest control and landscape professionals in which your agency participates.
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP FY 20-21 Annual Report for information on point of purchase public outreach conducted countywide and regionally.

**C.9.e.ii.(3) ► Public Outreach: Pest Control Operators**

Provide a summary of public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers and reduced pesticide use (here or in a separate report); <b>AND/OR</b> reference a report of a regional effort for outreach to pest control operators and landscapers in which your agency participates.
Summary: See the C.9 Pesticides Toxicity Control section of the CCCWP FY 20-21 Annual Report for a summary of our participation in and contributions towards countywide and regional public outreach to pest control operators and landscapers to reduce pesticide use.

**C.9.f ▶ Track and Participate in Relevant Regulatory Processes**

Summarize participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected; **AND/OR** reference a regional report that summarizes regional participation efforts, information submitted, and how regulatory actions were affected.

Summary:

During FY 20-21, we participated in regulatory processes related to pesticides through contributions to the countywide Program and CASQA. For additional information, see the Regional Report prepared by CASQA.

Section 10 - Provision C.10 Trash Load Reduction

<b>C.10.a.i ► Trash Load Reduction Summary</b>	
For population-based Permittees, provide the overall trash reduction percentage achieved to-date within the jurisdictional area of your municipality that generates problematic trash levels (i.e., Very High, High, or Moderate trash generation). Base the reduction percentage on the information presented in C.10.b i-iv and C.10.e.i-ii. Provide a discussion of the calculation used to produce the reduction percentage	
<b>Trash Load Reductions</b>	
Percent Trash Reduction in All Trash Management Areas (TMAs) due to <b>Trash Full Capture Systems</b> (as reported C.10.b.i)	51.1%
Percent Trash Reduction in all TMAs due to <b>Control Measures Other than Trash Full Capture Systems</b> (as reported in C.10.b.ii) <sup>55</sup>	37.6%
Percent Trash Reduction due to <b>Jurisdiction-wide Source Control Actions</b> (as reported in C.10.b.iv)	
<b>SubTotal for Above Actions</b>	<b>88.7%</b>
<b>Trash Offsets (Optional)</b>	
Offset Associated with Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (as reported in C.10.e.i)	0
Offset Associated with Direct Trash Discharges (as reported in C.10.e.ii)	0
<b>Total (Jurisdiction-wide) % Trash Load Reduction through FY 2020-21</b>	<b>88.7%</b>
<b>Discussion of Trash Load Reduction Calculation:</b>	
The City of Lafayette continues to perform on-land clean-ups. Please see “Attachment B” for a baseline trash generation map.	

<sup>55</sup> See Appendix 10-1 for changes between 2009 and FY 20-21 in trash generation by TMA as a result of Full Capture Systems and Other Measures.

<b>C.10.a.iii ► Mandatory Trash Full Capture Systems</b>		
Provide the following:		
1) Total number and types of full capture systems (publicly and privately-owned) installed during FY 20-21, and prior to FY 20-21, including inlet-based and large flow-through or end-of-pipe systems, and qualifying low impact development (LID) required by permit provision C.3.		
2) Total land area (acres) treated by full capture systems for population-based Permittees and total number of systems for non-population based Permittees compared to the total required by the permit.		
<b>Type of System</b>	<b># of Systems</b>	<b>Areas Treated (Acres)</b>
<b>Installed in FY 20-21</b>		
None.		
<b>Installed Prior to FY 20-21</b>		
Full Trash Capture Units Approved by the SFRWQBC - Connector Pipe Screens	38	32
<b>Total for all Systems Installed To-date</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Treatment Acreage Required by Permit (Population-based Permittees)</b>		<b>20</b>
<b>Total # of Systems Required by Permit (Non-population-based Permittees)</b>		<b>N/A</b>

**C.10.b.i ► Trash Reduction - Full Capture Systems**

Provide the following:

- 1) Jurisdiction-wide trash reduction in FY 20-21 attributable to trash full capture systems implemented in each TMA;
- 2) The total number of full capture systems installed to-date in your jurisdiction;
- 3) The percentage of systems in FY 20-21 that exhibited significant plugged/blinded screens or were >50% full when inspected or maintained;
- 4) A narrative summary of any maintenance issues and the corrective actions taken to avoid future full capture system performance issues; and
- 5) A certification that each full capture system is operated and maintained to meet the full capture system requirements in the permit.

TMA	Jurisdiction-wide Reduction (%)	Total # of Full Capture Systems	% of Systems Exhibiting Plugged/Blinded Screens or >50% full in FY 20-21	Summary of Maintenance Issues and Corrective Actions
1	32.5%	38	13%	Five (5) full trash capture units were found to be at >50% capacity during regular maintenance visits. At the next regular inspection, those units were at ≤50% capacity. Regular maintenance: REM serviced the units three (3) times. During each visit, vehicles were covering two (2) of the 38 units, so REM could not service those units.  When units get full of leaves (before and during a heavy rainstorm), and when the units were not available for servicing during REM’s maintenance visit, the City’s Public Works crew serviced them.
2	18.6%			
3	0%			
4	0%			
<b>Total</b>	<b>51.1%</b>			

**Certification Statement:**

The City of Lafayette certifies that a full capture system maintenance and operation program is currently being implemented to maintain all applicable systems in manner that meets the full capture system requirements included in the Permit.





C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART A)	
Provide a summary of trash control actions other than full capture systems or jurisdictional source controls that were implemented within each TMA, including the types of actions, levels, and areal extent of implementation, and whether actions are new, including initiation date.	
TMA	Summary of Trash Control Actions Other than Full Capture Systems
1	N/A - TMA 1 is the area of the commercial downtown Core Area containing full trash capture units. 2010-2012
2	TMA 2 is the remaining area of the City’s commercial downtown Core Area. Some of the area is treated by full trash capture and is low trash generating. Medium trash areas are cleaned up with additional trash pick-ups, as needed.
3	TMA 3 is comprised of parcels spread out over the City. These parcels are primarily schools (both public and private), churches, swim clubs, etc. Most of these parcels are low trash generating. Schools have now been designated as non-jurisdictional.
4	TMA 4 includes the remainder of the City, comprised primarily of residential parcels. The large majority of this TMA is low trash generating. Some areas along the right of ways of arterial roadways are designated as medium trash generating due to trash being thrown from cars or pedestrian litter. These areas are addressed by on-land trash pickup by the City’s maintenance crews.

**Summary of Trash Control Measures Other than Full Capture Devices:**

- **Street Sweeping:** Include a description of any enhancements or new actions implemented after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009). Identify portions of the TMA where enhanced street sweeping (i.e., increased sweeping frequency) and parking enforcement above 2009 levels was implemented.
- **On-land Cleanup:** Include a description of on-land cleanup activities that began after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued into FY 20-21, including any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 20-21. Describe if these actions are Permittee or volunteer-led.
- **Partial Capture Devices:** Provide a description of devices installed after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009). Describe the level of maintenance conducted per device types.
- **Storm Drain Inlet Cleaning:** Describe storm drain inlet maintenance activities implemented after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 20-21, including any enhancements or new maintenance activities implemented in FY 20-21. For new/enhanced actions, include the number of inlets where enhanced maintenance occurred, and the increased frequency of maintenance.
- **Uncovered Loads:** Describe activities designed to reduce trash from uncovered loads that began after the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 20-21, including any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 20-21. Describe the types of actions implemented including new or redirected enforcement efforts to increase the focus towards new or enhanced actions.
- **Anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement activities:** Describe anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement activities began after to the MRP 1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 20-21, and any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 20-21. Include any new or redirected enforcement efforts to increase the focus towards new or enhanced actions. Describe the number of

citations or other correction actions accomplished this year and compare with previous years. Indicate how anti-littering and illegal dumping enforcement records are kept, and how they may be retrieved for audit.

- **Improved Trash Bin/Container Management:** Describe activities designed to improve trash bin/container management that began after the MRP1.0 effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 20-21, and any enhancements or new actions implemented in FY 20-21. Include any new or redirected efforts to increase the focus towards these new or enhanced actions.
- **Other Types of Actions:** Describe activities designed after the MRP effective date (i.e., December 2009) and continued in FY 20-21, and any enhancements or new (post December 2009 effective date) actions implemented in FY 20-21.

**C.10.b.ii ► Trash Reduction – Other Trash Management Actions (PART B)**

Provide the following:

- 1) A summary of the on-land visual assessments in each TMA (or control measure area), including the street miles or acres available for assessment (i.e., those associated with VH, H, or M trash generation areas not treated by full capture systems), the street miles or acres assessed, the % of available street miles or acres assessed, and the average number of assessments conducted per site within the TMA; and
- 2) Percent jurisdictional-wide trash reduction in FY 20-21 attributable to trash management actions other than full capture systems implemented in each TMA; OR
- 3) Indicate that no on-land visual assessments were performed.

If no on-land visual assessments were performed, check here  and state why:

Explanation:

TMA ID <i>or (as applicable)</i> Control Measure Area	Total Street Miles <sup>56</sup> or Acres Available for Assessment	Summary of On-land Visual Assessments			Jurisdictional-wide Reduction (%)
		Street Miles or Acres Assessed	% of Available Street Miles or Acres Assessed	Avg. # of Assessments Conducted at Each Site	
1	0.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%
2	0.33	.19	57.64%	15	9.3%
3	0.45	.38	84.96%	11	3.9%
4	1.42	1.18	83.65%	11	24.4%
<b>Total</b>		<b>1.8</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37.6%</b>

<sup>56</sup> Linear feet are defined as the street length and do not include street median curbs.

**C.10.b.iv ▶ Trash Reduction – Source Controls**

Provide a description of each jurisdiction-wide trash source control action implemented to-date. For each control action, identify the trash reduction evaluation method(s) used to demonstrate on-going reductions, summarize the results of the evaluation(s), and estimate the associated reduction of trash within your jurisdictional area. Note: There is a maximum of 10% total credit for source controls.

Source Control Action	Summary Description & Dominant Trash Sources and Types Targeted	Evaluation/Enforcement Method(s)	Summary of Evaluation/Enforcement Results To-date	% Reduction
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**C.10.c ▶ Trash Hot Spot Cleanups**

Provide the FY 20-21 cleanup date and volume of trash removed during each MRP-required Trash Hot Spot cleanup during each fiscal year listed. Indicate whether the site was a new site in FY 20-21.

Trash Hot Spot	New Site in FY 20-21 (Y/N)	FY 20-21 Cleanup Date(s)	Volume of Trash Removed (cubic yards)				
			FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
1a – Leigh Creekside Park	N	9/29/20	.22	.40	.27	.45	.38
1b – Lafayette Community Park	N	9/29/20	.10	.00	.27	.15	.19

**C.10.d ► Long-Term Trash Load Reduction Plan**

Provide descriptions of significant revisions made to your Long-term Trash Load Reduction Plan submitted to the Water Board in February 2014. Describe significant changes made to primary or secondary trash management areas (TMA), baseline trash generation maps, control measures, or time schedules identified in your plan. Indicate whether your baseline trash generation map was revised and, if so, what information was collected to support the revision. If your baseline trash generation map was revised, attach it to your Annual Report.

Description of Significant Revision	Associated TMA
Schools are designated as non-jurisdictional. FY 15/16	3
Several parcels were re-evaluated and categorized as low trash generating. FY 16/17	2, 3, and 4
A few parcels were re-evaluated and categorized as medium trash generating. FY 16/17	2 and 4

•

**C.10.e. ► Trash Reduction Offsets (Optional)**

Provide a summary description of each offset program implemented, the volume of trash removed, and the offset claimed in FY 20-21. Also, for additional creek and shoreline cleanups, describe the number and frequency of cleanups conducted, and the locations and cleanup dates. For direct discharge control programs approved by the Water Board Executive Officer, also describe the results of the assessments conducted in receiving waters to demonstrate the effectiveness of the control program. Include an Appendix that provides the calculations and data used to determine the trash reduction offset.

Offset Program	Summary Description of Actions and Assessment Results	Volume of Trash (CY) Removed/Controlled in FY 20-21	Offset (% Jurisdiction-wide Reduction)
<b>Additional Creek and Shoreline Cleanups (Max 10% Offset)</b>	A Boy Scout clean-up event occurred in Lafayette Creek behind Mosswood Drive. Other regular community clean-up events did not occur due to COVID restrictions. Lafayette does not take off-sets for the clean-up events.	4.46 (No offsets taken)	N/A

**C.10.e. ► Trash Reduction Offsets (Optional)**

Provide a summary description of each offset program implemented, the volume of trash removed, and the offset claimed in FY 20-21. Also, for additional creek and shoreline cleanups, describe the number and frequency of cleanups conducted, and the locations and cleanup dates. For direct discharge control programs approved by the Water Board Executive Officer, also describe the results of the assessments conducted in receiving waters to demonstrate the effectiveness of the control program. Include an Appendix that provides the calculations and data used to determine the trash reduction offset.

<p><b>Direct Trash Discharge Controls (Max 15% Offset)</b></p>	<p>The City adopted a “Food Packaging Recycling” ordinance that became effective July 1, 2015, which prohibited food establishments from purchasing, obtaining, keeping, selling, distributing, or otherwise using polystyrene CFCprocessed take-out food packaging (specific exemptions available for specified period of time). No offsets were taken for this ordinance.</p>	<p>N/A (No offsets taken)</p>	<p>N/A</p>
--	---	-----------------------------------	------------

Appendix 10-1. Baseline trash generation and areas addressed by full capture systems and other control measures in Fiscal Year 20-21.

TMA	2009 Baseline Trash Generation (Acres)					Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 20-21 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems					Jurisdiction-wide Reduction via Full Capture Systems (%)	Trash Generation (Acres) in FY 20-21 After Accounting for Full Capture Systems and Other Control Measures				
	L	M	H	VH	Total	L	M	H	VH	Total		L	M	H	VH	Total
1	0	20	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	20	32.5	20	0	0	0	20
2	342	19	0	0	361	353	8	0	0	361	18.6	359	3	0	0	362
3	78	2	0	0	81	78	2	0	0	81	0.0	80	0	0	0	80
4	8779	18	0	0	8798	8779	18	0	0	8798	0.0	8794	3	0	0	8797
<b>Totals</b>	<b>9200</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9259</b>	<b>9231</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9259</b>	<b>51.1</b>	<b>9253</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9259</b>

Note: "NA" indicates that the TMA has no moderate, high, or very high trash generating areas (i.e., all low trash generation and/or non-jurisdictional) and therefore no additional trash control measures are needed.

**Section 11 - Provision C.11 Mercury Controls**

**C.11.a ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve Mercury Load Reductions**

**C.11.b ► Assess Mercury Load Reductions from Stormwater**

**C.11.c ► Plan and Implement Green Infrastructure to Reduce Mercury Loads**

See the CCCWP FY 2020-21 Annual Report for updated information on:

- Documentation of mercury control measures implemented in our agency’s jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology<sup>57</sup> was used to calculate the mercury load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency’s jurisdictional area (including green infrastructure) and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated mercury load reduced by each control measure);
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates; and
- For Executive Officer approval, any refinements, if necessary, to the measurement and estimation methodologies to assess mercury load reductions in the subsequent permit.

**C.11.e ► Implement a Risk Reduction Program**

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision, including a brief description of actions taken, an estimate of the number of people reached, and why these people are deemed likely to consume Bay fish are included in the CCCWP FY 2020-21 Annual Report.

<sup>57</sup>BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.1. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., March 23, 2017.



**Section 12 - Provision C.12 PCBs Controls**

**C.12.a ► Implement Control Measures to Achieve PCBs Load Reductions**

**C.12.b ► Assess PCBs Load Reductions from Stormwater**

**C.12.c ► Plan and Implement Green Infrastructure to Reduce PCBs Loads**

See the CCCWP FY 2020-21 Annual Report for:

- Documentation of PCBs control measures implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area for which load reductions will be reported and the associated management areas;
- A description of how the BASMAA Interim Accounting Methodology<sup>58</sup> was used to calculate the PCBs load reduced by each control measure implemented in our agency's jurisdictional area (including green infrastructure) and the calculation results (i.e., the estimated PCBs load reduced by each control measure);
- Supporting data and information necessary to substantiate the load reduction estimates; and
- For Executive Officer approval, any refinements, if necessary, to the measurement and estimation methodologies to assess PCBs load reductions in the subsequent permit".

**C.12.f. ► Manage PCB-Containing Materials During Building Demolition**

See the CCCWP FY 2020-21 Annual Report for:

- Documentation of the number of applicable structures in each Permittee's jurisdiction for which a demolition permit was applied for during the reporting year; and
- A running list of the applicable structures in each Permittee's jurisdiction for which a demolition permit was applied for (since the date the PCBs control program was implemented) that had material(s) with PCBs at 50 ppm or greater, with the address, demolition date, and brief description of PCBs control method(s) used.

<sup>58</sup>BASMAA 2017. Interim Accounting Methodology for TMDL Loads Reduced, Version 1.1. Prepared for BASMAA by Geosyntec Consultants and EOA, Inc., September 19, 2017.

**C.12.h ► Implement a Risk Reduction Program**

A summary of CCCWP and regional accomplishments for this sub-provision, including a brief description of actions taken, an estimate of the number of people reached, and why these people are deemed likely to consume Bay fish are included in the CCCWP FY 2020-21 Annual Report.

**Section 13 - Provision C.13 Copper Controls**

**C.13.a.iii.(3) ► Manage Waste Generated from Cleaning and Treating of Copper Architectural Features**

Provide summaries of permitting and enforcement activities to manage waste generated from cleaning and treating of copper architectural features, including copper roofs, during construction and post-construction.

Summary:

The City's application for building permit includes an applicant's statement whether architectural copper will be installed as part of the project. Applicants are advised that runoff from copper features cannot be discharged directly into storm drains

**C.13.b.iii.(3) ► Manage Discharges from Pools, Spas, and Fountains that Contain Copper-Based Chemicals**

Provide summaries of any enforcement activities related to copper-containing discharges from pools, spas, and fountains.

Summary:

None during fiscal year 20/21

**C.13.c.iii ► Industrial Sources Copper Reduction Results**

Based upon inspection activities conducted under Provision C.4, highlight copper reduction results achieved among the facilities identified as potential users or sources of copper, facilities inspected, and BMPs addressed.

Summary:

No facilities have been identified by the City of Lafayette.

**Section 15 -Provision C.15 Exempted and Conditionally Exempted Discharges**

**C.15.b.vi.(2) ► Irrigation Water, Landscape Irrigation, and Lawn or Garden Watering**

Provide implementation summaries of the required BMPs to promote measures that minimize runoff and pollutant loading from excess irrigation. Generally the categories are:

- Promote conservation programs
- Promote outreach for less toxic pest control and landscape management
- Promote use of drought tolerant and native vegetation
- Promote outreach messages to encourage appropriate watering/irrigation practices
- Implement Illicit Discharge Enforcement Response Plan for ongoing, large volume landscape irrigation runoff.

Summary:

- Stormwater C.3 Guidebook adopted by ordinance, which promotes to land development professionals landscaping designed to: 1) minimize irrigation and runoff; 2) promote infiltration of runoff where appropriate; and, 3) minimize use of fertilizers and pesticides using pest-resistant plants that are suited to site conditions (e.g., soil and climate).
- Green Business Program, which promotes to businesses a variety of measures such as using drought tolerant plantings, mulching, carefully monitoring irrigation schedules and needs, and implementing Integrated Pest Management.
- Our Water Our World (OWOW) Program, which promotes to consumers at the point of purchase less toxic alternatives to combating lawn and garden pests.
- Lafayette supports Sustainable Lafayette ([www.sustainablelafayette.org](http://www.sustainablelafayette.org)) and its programs to conserve water, promote less toxic pest control and landscape management, and use native plants and drought tolerant landscaping.
- Standard conditions of approval for projects requiring discretionary review include requirements to design landscape and irrigation plans to minimize irrigation and runoff, promote surface infiltration where appropriate, and minimize the use of fertilizers and pesticides that can contribute to stormwater pollution.

The City is taking steps to adopt the state's model WELO this fiscal year.